



## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/016,118		12/17/2001	Masahiro Yanagi	1614.1205	4188	
21171	7590	11/01/2005		EXAMINER		
STAAS & HALSEY LLP				LAO, LUN YI		
SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W.				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHINGTON, DC 20005				2677		

DATE MAILED: 11/01/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/016,118	YANAGI, MASAHIRO		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LUN-YI LAO	2677		

		Ait Offic						
	LUN-YI LAO	2677						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 October 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS.	APPLICATION IN CONDITION FO	R ALLOWANCE.						
<ol> <li>The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comparisoning time periods:</li> </ol>	n the same day as filing a Notice o owing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	f Appeal. To avoid ab ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (	ence, which CFR 41.31; or					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adverent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b)	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o . ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FI	f the final rejection.	~					
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stabove, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three month earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL	which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a and the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)					
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comof filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any estimate a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be a since a Notice of Appeal has been filed.	extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)	), to avoid dismissal (	of the appeal.					
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	onsideration and/or search (see NO		because <sup>*</sup>					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	tter form for appeal by materially re	educing or simplifying	the issues for					
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a))		ejected claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.	121. See attached Notice of Non-C	ompliant Amendmen	t (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s	· ——	timaly filed amonds	nont concoling					
<ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>	allowable if submitted in a separate	, timely filed amendn	nent canceling					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	•	vill be entered and an	explanation of					
Claim(s) allowed: <u>None</u> .								
Claim(s) objected to: <u>None</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3 and 6-22</u> .			•					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 4 and 5.								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
<ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, because applicant failed to provide a showing of good ar and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>	<b>—</b>	• • •						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessa	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	eal and/or appellant fa	ails to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after	entry is below or atta	ched.					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered be See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application i	in condition for allowa	ance because:					
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s)								
13.   Other: See Continuation Sheet.								

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the combination of the references meet all the limitations cited in claims 1-3 and 6-22 and Schneider teach the antenna((240a, 240b) is formed by a printing a conductor on the upper surface inside the housing(see figures 2A-2C; column 5, lines 64-67 and column 6, lines 1-9).

Continuation of 13. Other: The 35 usc 112 first and second paragraph rejections will be withdrawn.

Lun-Yi Lao Primary Examinar

2